UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,424	05/10/2007	Gary Hopkins SR.	AH126/2005.CRP	7892
David W. Carri	7590 04/05/201 thers	EXAMINER		
CARRITHERS Ste 206	LAW OFFICE, PLLC	SMITH, PRESTON		
6200 Dutchman	i's Lane	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Louisville, KY	40205	1782		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/05/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/579,424		HOPKINS, GARY	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	PRESTON SMITH	1782	

	PRESTON SMITH	1782	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 March 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidav al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extraorder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sleet forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	ut prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	Callea
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con			cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	,	2 0.0,,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) $\square$ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11	6 and 41.33(a)).		
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>5-11</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but Applicant argues that the new claim limitation "consisting would require further search and/or considerations			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
prs	/Drew E Becker/		
I	Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 1782	
	i iiiiaiy Exailiiiei, Alt C	/int 1702	

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

## Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitation "consisting essentially of" and "said internal crisping container defining a cooking bag sealed using a heat seal for transferring the food product to and from the internal crisping container" would require further search and/or considerations. The second claim amendment mentioned appears to be previous claims 7 and 8 combined but the way it is written presently is confusing. It appears that the heat seal is being used for transferring the food product. The previous claim set required an opening that was heat sealed.